1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California	
2	Tracy L. Winsor	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOHN D. BUTTERFIELD (SBN 328997)	
4	Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125	
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550	
6	Telephone: (916) 210-6396 Fax: (916) 327-2319	
7	E-mail: John.Butterfield@doj.ca.gov  Attorneys for Plaintiff People of the State of	Exempt from filing fees pursuant to
8	California ex rel. Julie Henderson, Director of th California Department of Pesticide Regulation	ne Gov. Code, § 6103
9		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	COUNTY	OF KERN
12		
13	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	Case No.
14	CALIFORNIA ex rel. JULIE	
15	HENDERSON, Director of the California Department of Pesticide Regulation,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES; PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT
16	Plaintiffs,	INJUNCTION
17	v.	
18	IOHN A CLWIZEDMAN.	
19	JOHN A. SLYKERMAN; AGRA FLY, INC., a California	
20	corporation; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23		
24		
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>		
25		
25 26		

2.1

- 1. This action is brought on behalf of plaintiffs People of the State of California upon a complaint by Julie Henderson, the Director of the California Department of Pesticide Regulation, (hereafter the People), against defendants JOHN A. SLYKERMAN, individually, Agra Fly, Inc. (AGRA FLY); and Does 1 through 20 (collectively referred to as Defendants).
- 2. On February 28, 2023, SLYKERMAN's medical certificate from the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) expired. Holding a current appropriate medical certificate issued by the FAA (Medical Certificate) is a requirement prior to conducting pesticide applications using an aircraft in the state of California. Despite not having legal authorization, SLYKERMAN has conducted multiple aerial pesticide applications since his Medical Certificate expired.
- 3. On information and belief, in addition to these illegal applications, Defendants have misapplied pesticides in violation of California's pesticide laws and regulations at least five times since October 1, 2022. These applications have resulted in pesticide drift onto people and neighboring property and endangered the public health and welfare. Given Defendants' documented history of noncompliance and the associated risk to public health and safety, the People, by and through the Department of Pesticide Regulation, now bring this action to prevent further harm to the public and the environment.

#### **PARTIES**

- 4. The People bring this action by and through Julie Henderson in her capacity as Director of the California Department of Pesticide Regulation (the Director).
- 5. Julie Henderson is the Director of the California Department of Pesticide Regulation (DPR), which is a state agency created in the California Environmental Protection Agency. (Food & Agr. Code, §§ 11451, 11454.) DPR is charged with administering and enforcing the provisions of the Food and Agricultural Code and the California Code of Regulations that regulate pesticides and their sale, use, and application. (Food & Agr. Code, §§ 11454, 11401 et seq.; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 3, § 6000 et seq.) This action is brought against Defendants for violations of Divisions 6 and 7 of the Food and Agricultural Code, regulations issued pursuant to various provisions of the Food and Agricultural Code, and for creation of a public nuisance in violation of section 3479, 3480, and 3494 of the Civil Code.

- 6. On information and belief, Defendant SLYKERMAN is an individual engaged in the business of using and applying pesticides, including as a pilot for aerial pesticide applications, and is the Chief Executive Office, the Chief Financial Officer, and the Secretary of AGRA FLY.
- 7. On information and belief, Defendant AGRA FLY is a California corporation engaged in the business of using and applying pesticides, located at 4718 Aviara Drive, Bakersfield, California. In its normal course of business, AGRA FLY's activities include, but are not limited to, the aerial spraying of pesticides.
- 8. The true names and capacities of defendants Does 1 through 20, inclusive, are unknown to the People, who therefore sue these Does by such fictitious names. The People will amend this complaint to show the true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained. The People are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that each of these fictitiously named Does 1 through 20, inclusive, are legally responsible in some manner for the events, occurrences, and circumstances that form the basis of this lawsuit, and are thereby liable for the violations asserted herein.
- 9. On information and belief, at all times herein mentioned each of the defendants were agents, servants, employees, or contractors of each of the remaining defendants and were at all times acting within the course and scope of their authority as such agents, servants, employees, or contractors and with the permission and consent of their co-defendants.
- 10. On information and belief, at all times relevant herein, defendant SLYKERMAN was acting as a responsible corporate officer, agent, servant, contractor, qualified applicator and/or employee of defendant AGRA FLY.
- 11. On information and belief, there is a unity of ownership between AGRA FLY and SLYKERMAN such that a separate corporate personality does not exist and injustice would result if AGRA FLY's violations are treated as those of the corporation alone, rather than acts by SLYKERMAN.
- 12. On information and belief, SLYKERMAN was in a position of responsibility that allowed him to influence corporate policies and activities of AGRA FLY, which allowed SLYKERMAN to influence AGRA FLY's acts and omissions relating to the violations alleged

1	below. On information and belief, SLYKERMAN's acts and omissions facilitated AGRA FLY's	
2	violations.	
3	JURISDICTION AND VENUE	
4	13. The amount in controversy exceeds the minimal jurisdictional limits of this Court.	
5	14. Venue is proper in Kern County because SLYKERMAN resides in Kern County,	
6	AGRA FLY's principal place of business is situated in Kern County, and several of the violations	
7	alleged below occurred in Kern County.	
8	GENERAL ALLEGATIONS	
9	15. Under Food and Agricultural Code section 12753, a pesticide includes:	
10	intended to be used for defoliating plants, regulating plant growth or for preventing, destroying, repelling, or mitigating any pest as defined in Section 12754.5, which may infest or be detrimental to vegetation, man, animals, or households, or be present	
11		
12		
13	16. Under Food and Agricultural Code section 12754.5, "pest" is defined to include any	
14	insect, predatory animal, rodent, nematode, weed, or other form of terrestrial, aquatic, or aerial	
15	plant or animal, virus, fungus, bacteria, or other microorganism that is, or is liable to become,	
16	dangerous or detrimental to the agricultural or nonagricultural environment of the state. The	
17	Director of DPR may also, by regulation, declare anything to be a pest.	
18	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS	
19	I. LACK OF PILOT MEDICAL CERTIFICATE	
20	17. Under Food and Agricultural Code section 11901, subdivision (a), "It is unlawful for	
21	any person to operate a manned aircraft in pest control unless the pilot operating the aircraft holds	
22	a valid manned pest control aircraft pilot's certificate issued by the director and an appropriate	
23	and valid commercial pilot's certificate and a current appropriate medical certificate issued by the	
24	[FAA]."	
25	18. On information and belief, SLYKERMAN's Medical Certificate from the FAA	
26	expired on February 28, 2023. SLYKERMAN has not received a new Medical Certificate since	
27	February 28, 2023.	
28		

2.1

19. On information and belief, SLYKERMAN has operated a manned aircraft in pest control at least three times since February 28, 2023, including aerial pesticide applications on March 31, 2023; April 15, 2023; and May 11, 2023.

#### II. DRIFT INCIDENTS BY DEFENDANTS SINCE OCTOBER 2022

## A. Bear Mountain Boulevard Drift

- 20. On information and belief, in October 2022, the Kern County Agricultural Commissioner's Office investigated AGRA FLY for pesticide drift after an aerial application of CottonQuik, a registered pesticide, and other pesticides to a site near Bear Mountain Boulevard in Kern County damaged the pistachio trees of an individual not involved in the pesticide application.
- 21. On information and belief, the Kern County Agricultural Commissioner's Office concluded that the damage was caused by unlawful drift occurring during a pesticide application by AGRA FLY on September 24, 2022. The Kern County Agricultural Commissioner's Office issued a Notice of Proposed Action to AGRA FLY. This incident has been referred to the Director for enforcement.

#### **B.** Famoso Hills Drive Drift

- 22. On information and belief, in January 2023, the Kern County Agricultural Commissioner's Office investigated AGRA FLY for pesticide drift after an aerial application of Cornerstone Plus, a registered pesticide, and other pesticides to a site near Famoso Hills Drive in Kern County damaged pastureland of individuals not involved in the pesticide application.
- 23. On information and belief, the Kern County Agricultural Commissioner's Office concluded that the damage was caused by unlawful drift occurring during a pesticide application by AGRA FLY on January 21, 2023. The Kern County Agricultural Commissioner's Office issued a Notice of Proposed Action to AGRA FLY and a separate Notice of Proposed Action to SLYKERMAN.
- 24. On information and belief, the penalty proposed by the Kern County Agricultural Commissioner's Office for the January 21, 2023, application was paid by both AGRA FLY and SLYKERMAN.

#### C. Porterville Drift

- 25. On information and belief, in January 2023, the Tulare County Agricultural Commissioner's Office investigated AGRA FLY and SLYKERMAN for pesticide drift after an aerial application of Quadris Top, a registered pesticide, and pesticides to a site near Porterville, California, drifted onto the person and property of an individual not involved in the application. Foliage and clothing samples collected in the non-target area tested positive for the active ingredient in the pesticide applied by AGRA FLY and SLYKERMAN to a neighboring property.
- 26. On information and belief, the Tulare County Agricultural Commissioner's Office concluded that unlawful drift occurred during a pesticide application by SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY on January 23, 2023. This incident has been referred to the Director for enforcement.

## D. Lindsay Road Drift

- 27. On information and belief, in March 2023, the Kern County Agricultural Commissioner's Office investigated AGRA FLY and SLYKERMAN for pesticide drift after an aerial application of Ravage, a registered pesticide, and other pesticides to a site near Lindsay Road in Kern County drifted onto non-target property. Swab, foliage, and clothing samples collected in the non-target area tested positive for the active ingredient in the pesticide applied by AGRA FLY and SLYKERMAN to a neighboring property.
- 28. On information and belief, the Kern County Agricultural Commissioner's Office concluded that unlawful drift occurred during a pesticide application by SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY on March 31, 2023. The Kern County Agricultural Commissioner's Office issued a Notice of Proposed Action to AGRA FLY.
- 29. On information and belief, the penalty proposed by the Kern County Agricultural Commissioner's Office for the March 31, 2023, application was paid by AGRA FLY.

## E. Shafter Drift

30. On information and belief, in May 2023, the Kern County Agricultural Commissioner's Office investigated SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY for pesticide drift after an aerial application of Fyfanon, a registered pesticide, and other pesticides to a site near Shafter, California, drifted onto non-target property. Swab, foliage, and clothing samples collected in the

## FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Use of CottonQuik in Conflict with the Label in Violation of Food and Agricultural Code Section 12973 Against Defendants SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY for Bear Mountain Boulevard Drift)

- 51. The People reallege and incorporate by reference as though fully set forth herein all allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 50, inclusive.
- 52. Food and Agricultural Code section 12973 requires that "[t]he use of any pesticide shall not conflict with labeling registered pursuant to this chapter which is delivered with the pesticide or with any additional limitations applicable to the conditions of any permit issued by the director or commissioner."
- 53. On information and belief, the "Directions For Use" on the label of CottonQuik includes: "Do not apply this product in a way that will contact workers or other persons, either directly or through drift."
- 54. On information and belief, on September 24, 2022, SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY applied CottonQuik in a way that contacted or could have contacted other persons through drift.
- 55. SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's application of CottonQuik conflicted with the labeling of CottonQuik and therefore violated Food and Agricultural Code section 12973.
- 56. On information and belief, SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's violation of Food and Agricultural Code section 12973 was a subsequent violation that was the same or similar to prior violations by Defendants.
- 57. On information and belief, SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's application of CottonQuik in conflict with its label in violation of Food and Agricultural Code section 12973 was intentional and resulted or reasonably could have resulted in the creation of a hazard to human health or the environment.
- 58. SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's application of CottonQuik was a violation of section 12973 rendering them liable under Food and Agricultural Code section 12998 for a civil penalty in an amount according to proof.

#### **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

# (Violation of Food and Agricultural Code section 11792 Against Defendants SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY for Porterville Drift)

- 74. The People reallege and incorporate by reference as though fully set forth herein all allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 73, inclusive.
- 75. On information and belief, SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's application of Quadris Top and other pesticides on January 23, 2023, violated Food and Agricultural Code section 11792.
- 76. SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's violation of Food and Agricultural Code section 11792 on January 23, 2023, renders them liable under Food and Agricultural Code section 11893 for a civil penalty in an amount according to proof.

### NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Failure to Prevent Substantial Drift in Violation of Food and Agricultural Code Section 12972 Against Defendants SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY for Porterville Drift)

- 77. The People reallege and incorporate by reference as though fully set forth herein all allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 76, inclusive.
  - 78. At all times relevant herein, Quadris Top was a pesticide registered with DPR.
- 79. On information and belief, on January 23, 2023, SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY failed to use Quadris Top in such a manner as to prevent substantial drift to nontarget areas.
- 80. SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's application of Quadris Top violated Food and Agricultural Code section 12972.
- 81. On information and belief, SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's violation of Food and Agricultural Code section 12972 was a subsequent violation that was the same or similar to prior violations by Defendants.
- 82. On information and belief, SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's violation of Food and Agricultural Code section 12972 was intentional and resulted or reasonably could have resulted in the creation of a hazard to human health or the environment.

101. SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's violation of California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6614 renders them liable under Food and Agricultural Code section 12998 for a civil penalty in an amount according to proof.

#### THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Failure to Report Use of Pesticides in Violation of California Code of Regulations, Title 3, Section 6626 Against Defendants SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY for Porterville Drift)

- 102. The People reallege and incorporate by reference as though fully set forth herein all allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 101, inclusive,
- 103. Under California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6626, subdivision (b) "[a]n agricultural pest control business shall report the use of pesticides applied by it for the production of an agricultural commodity to the commissioner of the county in which the pest control was performed. This report must be submitted within seven days of completion of the pesticide application."
- 104. Under California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6626, subdivision (c) "[e]ach report of pesticide use pursuant to this Section shall be on a department form or in a format approved by the director... The information to be reported shall include the information specified in Section 6624, and the name and address of the agricultural pest control business which made the application, if such a business made the application."
- 105. On information and belief, SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY violated California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6626, in connection with their January 23, 2023, application of Quadris Top and other pesticides.
- 106. On information and belief, SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's violation of California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6626 was a subsequent violation that was the same or similar to prior violations by Defendants.
- 107. SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's violation of California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6626 renders them liable under Food and Agricultural Code section 12998 for a civil penalty in an amount according to proof.

#### FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# (Violation of Food and Agricultural Code section 11901 Against Defendants SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY for Lindsay Road Drift)

- 108. The People reallege and incorporate by reference as though fully set forth herein all allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 107, inclusive.
- 109. On information and belief, on March 31, 2023, SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY operated a manned aircraft in pest control without holding a current appropriate medical certificate issued by the Federal Aviation Administration.
- 110. SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's application on March 31, 2023, violated Food and Agricultural Code section 11901.
- 111. SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's violation of Food and Agricultural Code section 11901 on March 31, 2023, renders them liable under Food and Agricultural Code section 11893 for a civil penalty in an amount according to proof.

## FIFTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# (Violation of Food and Agricultural Code section 11901 Against Defendants SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY for April 15, 2023, Application)

- 112. The People reallege and incorporate by reference as though fully set forth herein all allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 111, inclusive.
- 113. On information and belief, on April 15, 2023, SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY operated a manned aircraft in pest control without holding a current appropriate medical certificate issued by the Federal Aviation Administration.
- 114. SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's application on April 15, 2023, violated Food and Agricultural Code section 11901.
- 115. SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's violation of Food and Agricultural Code section 11901 on April 15, 2023, renders them liable under Food and Agricultural Code section 11893 for a civil penalty in an amount according to proof.

- 135. On information and belief, on September 2, 2023, SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY applied Fanfare in a way that contacted or could have contacted other persons through drift.
- 136. SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's application of Fanfare conflicted with the labeling of Fanfare in violation of Food and Agricultural Code section 12973.
- 137. On information and belief, SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's violation of Food and Agricultural Code section 12973 was a subsequent violation that was the same or similar to prior violations by Defendants.
- 138. On information and belief, SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's violation of Food and Agricultural Code section 12972 was intentional and resulted or reasonably could have resulted in the creation of a hazard to human health or the environment.
- 139. SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's violation of section 12973 renders them liable under Food and Agricultural Code section 12998 for a civil penalty in an amount according to proof.

#### TWENTY-FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# (Violation of California Code of Regulations, Title 3, Section 6600 Against Defendants SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY for Arvin/Lamont Drift)

- 140. The People reallege and incorporate by reference as though fully set forth herein all allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 139, inclusive.
- 141. On information and belief, on September 2, 2023 SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY violated California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6600.
- 142. On information and belief, SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's violation of California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6600 was a subsequent violation that was the same or similar to prior violations by Defendants.
- 143. On information and belief, SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's violation of California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6600 was intentional and resulted or reasonably could have resulted in the creation of a hazard to human health or the environment.

144. SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's violation of California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6600 renders them liable under Food and Agricultural Code section 12998 for a civil penalty in an amount according to proof.

### TWENTY-SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Failure to Protect Persons, Animals, and Property in Violation of California Code of Regulations, title 3, Section 6614 Against Defendants SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY for Arvin/Lamont Drift)

- 145. The People reallege and incorporate by reference as though fully set forth herein all allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 144, inclusive.
- 146. On information and belief, on September 2, 2023, SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY violated California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6614.
- 147. On information and belief, SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's violation California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6614 was a subsequent violation that was the same or similar to prior violations by Defendants.
- 148. On information and belief, SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's violation of California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6614 was intentional and resulted or reasonably could have resulted in the creation of a hazard to human health or the environment.
- 149. SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY's violation of California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6614 renders them liable under Food and Agricultural Code section 12998 for a civil penalty in an amount according to proof.

#### TWENTY-THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

# (Public Nuisance in Violation of Civil Code, Sections 3479, 3480, and 3494 Against Defendants SLYKERMAN and AGRA FLY for All Drifts)

- 150. The People reallege and incorporate by reference as though fully set forth herein all allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 149, inclusive.
- 151. Civil Code section 3479 provides: "Anything which is injurious to health . . . , or is indecent or offensive to the senses, or an obstruction to the free use of property, so as to interfere with the comfortable enjoyment of life or property, or unlawfully obstructs the free passage or use, in the customary manner, of any navigable . . . stream . . . is a nuisance."

- 152. A "public nuisance" is defined in Civil Code section 3480 as a nuisance "which affects at the same time an entire community or neighborhood, or any considerable number of persons . . . ." Civil Code section 3490 provides that "[n]o lapse of time can legalize a public nuisance."
- 153. Pursuant to Civil Code section 3494, "a public nuisance may be abated by any public body or officer so authorized by law."
- 154. Defendants' continued misapplication of pesticides presents emergency conditions to life and property. SLYKERMAN is performing aerial pesticide applications without a valid current appropriate Medical Certificate. Defendants' activities are a public nuisance that endanger the life, wellbeing, and property of the community around their applications. Each day that Defendants conduct aerial pesticide applications, the significant threat to the community exists.
- 155. An ordinary person would be annoyed and disturbed by the conditions created by Defendants' aerial pesticide drift incidents, as described in this complaint.
- 156. The seriousness of the harm caused by Defendants' misapplication of pesticides outweighs any social utility of Defendants' conduct.
- 157. The nuisance is capable of abatement to applicable regulatory standards, but the People are informed and believe that Defendants will, unless restrained and enjoined by this Court, continue to maintain the public nuisance and the acts complained of herein, and the threat to public safety and to the environment, will continue.
- 158. The People have no plain, speedy or adequate remedy at law to address the public nuisance. Injunctive relief is expressly authorized by statute. (Code Civ. Proc., § 526.)

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the People pray for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

1. That the Court issue a preliminary and permanent injunction under Food and Agricultural Code sections 11895.5 and 13000.1, Code of Civil Procedure section 525, and Civil Code section 3422 requiring Defendants and each of them, and their agents, lessees, tenants, employees, representatives, successors-in-interest, and all persons acting under, in concert with,