

2017-2018 Pest Management Alliance Grant Evaluation

Megan Parker
California Department of Pesticide Regulation
Pest Management and Licensing Branch
May 11, 2017



Outline

- Alliance Grant Funding Priorities
- Criteria for ranking proposals
- Critical dates
- The proposals
- Scores and discussion process



Alliance Grant Priority

Promote the adoption of established IPM practices that reduce the use of pesticides **on farms adjacent to schools** through the guidance of a collaborative team of knowledgeable participants known as an Alliance

- A project should target **growers who produce commercial agricultural crops** adjacent to schools and child care centers.
- "Adjacent" should be interpreted as being **within a quarter mile** of a school or child day care facility to be consistent with the proposed regulations.
- A project should **clearly demonstrate** how the use of integrated pest management (IPM) will reduce the use of pesticides near schools and child care centers while also reducing the negative health risks pesticides pose to children.

Funding = \$400,000 total

(grants may range from \$50,000 to \$400,000)



Criteria for Ranking Proposals

- 1) How well each proposal met the solicitation focus for funding priority
- 2) The overall quality of the proposal

Critical grant program dates

- 6 concepts were received February 3, 2017
- Applicants invited to submit proposals February 24, 2017
- 4 proposals were received April 4, 2017
- Grants to be awarded, June 30, 2017
- Project start date, September 1, 2017




Restricted use pesticide notification near schools

PI: Eric Lauritzen **Organization:** Monterey County **Amount Requested:** \$94,538

The goal of this project is to reduce the use of pesticides near schools by developing an IPM program and training curriculum that will educate identified stakeholders on the use of pesticides with an emphasis on fumigant applications.

Short title: Lauritzen / Monterey



Training California's pesticide applicators to reduce drift near schools

PI: Lynn Wunderlich
Organization: UC-ANR
Amount Requested: \$218,896

The goals of this project are to reduce risks from pesticide use by reducing drift and training applicators in IPM practices.

Short title: Wunderlich / UCANR



Working in harmony – agriculture with urban edge

PI: Naresh Duggal
Organization: County of Santa Clara
Amount Requested: \$249,994

The goal of this project is to demonstrate agricultural Best Management Practices (BMPs) and IPM practices that a farmer can adopt in order to bring pest problems below economic threshold and help them reduce the use of pesticides.

Short title: Duggal / Santa Clara



Continued adoption of ASD through educational workshops, demonstrations, and outreach materials for all California growers

PI: Stefanie Bourcier
Organization: Farm Fuel, Inc.
Amount Requested: \$200,000

The purpose of this project will be to continue work in the education and demonstration of the utility of ASD as a soil treatment strategy for California growers regardless of crop type, and expand that work beyond berry growers.

Short title: Bourcier / Farm Fuel



Today's Goals:

- Identify the proposals PMAC feels are fundable.
- Rank those proposals in order of preference.
- Record strengths and concerns for all proposals.
- Grant Program feedback:
<https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1iWakoGWhU12affijqmdznsQtulEAhikhR2hVO2Dzpb4/viewform>

Recusal:


- PMAC members who are PIs or key team members are not eligible to receive funds through a project unless they recuse themselves from the grant review process; PMAC members in an advisory capacity on a project must recuse themselves from review of that proposal.
- Organizations with which the committee members are associated are eligible for funding.

Folder Contents:

- Agenda
- Ground Rules
- PMAC Score totals
- Individual scores and comments
- Presentations
- Proposal Abstracts

Tania Carlone, Senior Facilitator, CSUS Center for Collaborative Policy
 Alex Cole-Weiss, Assistant Facilitator, CSUS Center for Collaborative Policy

Questions....



Rankings

Project	Rank	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9	R10	R11	R12	R13	R14	R15	R16	R17	R18	R19	Avg	High	Low	\$
Bourcier/Farm Fuel	1	1	1	4	1	2	1	1	4	1	2	3	1	2	3	2	3	2	2	3	2.05	1	4	\$200,000
Wunderlich/UOANR	2	4	4	1	2	1	3	2	1.5	3	1	2	4	1	1	1	ND	ND	ND	2	2.09	1	4	\$218,896
Lauritzen/Monterey	3	2	3	2	3	4	2	3	1.5	2	ND	1	3	3	2	3	2	1	1	1	2.19	1	4	\$94,538
Duggal/Santa Clara	4	3	2	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	3	4	2	4	4	4	1	3	3	4	3.26	1	4	\$249,994