

Chapter 4

Classifying the Violation and Determining Enforcement Response

Table of Contents

Section 4.1 Chapter Overview	4-2
Section 4.2 Classifying Violations.....	4-4
Section 4.3 Determining the Enforcement Response to Violations.....	4-9

Section 4.1 Chapter Overview

Introduction

The investigation or inspection report is complete, the elements of the violation are documented, and there is a preponderance of evidence that one or more violations of pesticide laws or regulations occurred. The next steps are to classify the violation and determine the enforcement response. This chapter will discuss how to classify violations (as to severity) and choose the appropriate enforcement response (compliance action or enforcement action).

Two code sections set forth the violation class and associated fine range when taking an administrative civil penalty action: 16 CCR section 1922 (Structural), and 3 CCR section 6130 (Agricultural). Generally, the most challenging aspect is applying the unique facts of each case to determine the appropriate violation classification.

After the violation has been classified, Commissioners must use 3 CCR section 6128 (Enforcement Response to Violations) to determine the appropriate enforcement response for each violation of law or regulation related to agricultural or structural use of pesticides, or any use of a fumigant. There are two categories for enforcement responses in 3 CCR section 6128:

- a **Compliance Action** or
- an **Enforcement Action**

Section 6128 expressly outlines the conditions and justifications for choosing a compliance action versus an enforcement action. For example, when a CAC chooses to respond to a Class B or Moderate violation with a compliance action, the CAC must submit a **Decision Report (DR)** to document why an enforcement action was not taken. DRs are not required for compliance actions for Class C or Minor violations.

Continued on next page

Overview, Continued

Definitions

Definitions from 3 CCR section 6128(b):

- **Compliance action:** An action that documents that certain behavior or an act is in violation of the law or regulations, but does not directly impose a monetary penalty. Compliance actions include: a violation notice, warning letter, documented compliance interview, or a noncompliance noted on an inspection form. Compliance actions also include public protection actions such as cease and desist orders, seize or hold product or produce orders, and prohibit harvest orders.
- **Decision Report (DR):** A written record of the basis for a Commissioner's decision not to take an enforcement action. Under 3 CCR section 6128(c), this definition is applicable only on Class B or Moderate violations.
- **Enforcement action:** an action with the potential to impose a monetary penalty or loss of a right or privilege initiated by a Notice of Proposed Action (NOPA). Enforcement actions include administrative civil penalties (fines) or disciplinary actions to refuse, suspend, or revoke a county registration, certificate, or permit.
- **Incident:** An occurrence in which one or more violations are discovered. An incident may be a single inspection or audit, a set of two or more inspections or audits related to the occurrence, or a pesticide episode investigation.

Other definitions:

- **Agricultural use:** This is California's broad legal definition found in FAC section 11408; further interpreted in Compendium Volume 7 Section 1.1. Agricultural use includes all pest control (including pesticide use) while excluding the following: pest control in home, industrial, or institutional settings; and pest control by structural pest control licensees, by certain vector control districts or agencies, or by or under the written prescription of a veterinarian within the scope of their practice. Many of these exemptions are defined in 3 CCR section 6000.
 - **Structural use:** Use requiring a license from the Structural Pest Control Board (SPCB). (3 CCR section 6000)
 - **Notice of Proposed Action (NOPA):** formal notice of a Commissioner's decision to initiate an enforcement action for an alleged violation of a pesticide law or regulation and the proposed penalty (e.g. civil penalty fine or disciplinary action). The NOPA is sent to the person or business (Respondent) that allegedly violated the law or regulation.
-

Section 4.2 Classifying Violations

Introduction This section reviews the violation classes for pesticide use violations, and then provides four examples of how to apply these classes.

Violation classes Violation classes for most pesticide use violations are detailed in 3 CCR section 6130 (Class A, B, or C). For structural pesticide use violations, the classes are detailed in 16 CCR section 1922 (Serious, Moderate, or Minor). The following table summarizes criteria associated with each violation class:

Agricultural Violations (3 CCR 6130)	Structural Violations (16 CCR 1922)
Class A Violation 1. The violation caused a health, property, or environmental hazard. ¹ 2. A Class B violation that was elevated to Class A per one of the aggravating circumstances. 3. A violation of a lawful order of the CAC issued pursuant to several FAC sections.	Serious Violation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A repeat of a Moderate violation, or • A violation which created an actual health or environmental hazard.
Class B Violation A violation of a law or regulation that mitigates the risk of adverse health, property, or environmental effects that is not designated as Class A.	Moderate Violation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Repeat of a Minor violation, or • A violation which poses a reasonable possibility of creating a health or environmental effect.
Class C Violation A violation of a law or regulation that does not mitigate the risk of an adverse health, property, or environmental effect, including, but not limited to 3 CCR sections 6624 through 6628 or FAC sections 11732, 11733, and 11761.	Minor Violation A violation that did not create an actual health or environmental effect or did not pose a reasonable possibility of creating a health or environmental effect.

¹ Note: No actual damage has to occur. See the Glossary for definition of “Hazard”.

Continued on next page

Classifying Violations, Continued

Classifying Violations Case Study: 3 CCR section 6680

3 CCR section 6680. Prohibited Containers for Pesticides.

In no case shall a pesticide be placed or kept in any container of a type commonly used for food, drink, or household products.

What constitutes a violation of 3 CCR section 6680?

Placing any pesticide in any container commonly used for food, drink, or household products is expressly prohibited by 3 CCR section 6680.

Per Administrative Docket No. 096, a Director's Decision which involved 3 CCR section 6680, there is no exemption for altered (label removed, etc.) food, drink, or household product containers and the prohibition of this regulation still applies. A pesticide handler who places a pesticide in a container commonly used to hold a food, drink, or household product, whether altered or not, is in violation of 3 CCR section 6680.

Factors to consider for classifying a violation of 3 CCR section 6680

Section 6680 mitigates the risk of adverse health, property, or environmental effects because it is intended to prevent potential adverse health risks that can occur from a person mistakenly consuming a pesticide. There are multiple examples of persons storing a pesticide in a beverage container and later they, or someone else, drinks the pesticide, mistaking it for a beverage. Since this regulation may be interpreted as intending to mitigate the risk of adverse health effects, it cannot be classified as a Class C violation, it must be a Class A or Class B violation.

A relevant factor for the violation to be considered a Class A violation is: did the violation cause a health hazard? A non-exhaustive list of potential issues to consider with 3 CCR section 6680 violations are:

- Amount of control the handler had over the container
 - Proximity of non-handlers to the container
 - Types of non-handlers present
 - Location of the container relative to other food, drink, or household product containers
 - Appearance of the container
 - Toxicity of the pesticide concentrate
 - Concentration and amount of pesticide present
 - Appearance of the contents
-

Continued on next page

Classifying Violations, Continued

Factors to consider in a Class A violation of 3 CCR section 6680

The following example describes factors that, when taken together, may allow an average person to determine a violation of 3 CCR section 6680 created a health hazard. The factors and facts listed below are for illustration purposes only. There may be other facts that may support a determination that the violation of 3 CCR section 6680 created a health hazard. Additionally, there does not need to be a supporting fact for each factor listed below to determine there was a health hazard. The point here is decision makers should consider the totality of the circumstances when classifying the violation (i.e., even though some factors may not be applicable, other factors, supported by strong evidence, could sufficiently demonstrate there was a health hazard).

Example Factor	Potential Supporting Facts
Container control	The applicator was over 200 yards from the container and facing away from the container.
Proximity and types of non-handlers	Several young children were observed near the container without the knowledge of the applicator.
Container location	The contaminated beverage container was on a picnic table, about 100 feet away from the equipment and pesticide sheds, where the employees routinely ate lunch.
Container appearance	The beverage container was not altered or marked to indicate its current use or contents and looked exactly like the product available at the local grocery store.
Pesticide toxicity	The precautionary statements on the pesticide label required immediate medical attention following exposure.
Pesticide concentration and quantity	The beverage container held approximately 12 ounces of pesticide concentrate.
Contents - appearance	The pesticide concentrate appeared similar to the normal contents of the beverage container.

Continued on next page

Classifying Violations, Continued

**Classifying
Violations Case
Study: 3 CCR
section 6602**

3 CCR section 6602. Availability of Labeling:

A copy of the registered labeling that allows the manner in which the pesticide is being used shall be available at each use site.

For failure to have a copy of the labeling (including required supplemental labeling or special local needs registration) covering the use at the use site, it would generally be appropriate to classify this violation as at least Class B, depending on the circumstances. Continuation of an application without reviewing the pesticide label may pose a reasonable possibility of creating a health or environmental effect. For example: Is adequate personal protective equipment being used? Is the mix rate and dilution in accordance with the rates listed on the label? Is the treatment site listed on the label? Does the label have any additional restrictions (e.g. closed system requirements, wind speed restrictions, etc.)?

**Classifying
Violations Case
Study: 3 CCR
section 6761**

3 CCR section 6761. Hazard Communication for Fieldworkers:

(a) Whenever employees are working as fieldworkers in a treated field, the employer shall display at the worksite and all permanent decontamination facilities and decontamination facilities servicing 11 or more fieldworkers, a copy of a completed written Hazard Communication Information for Employees Working in Fields (Pesticide Safety Information Series leaflet A-9). ...

It is the employer's responsibility to complete (fill in) the appropriate spaces in the Pesticide Safety Information Series leaflet A-9 displayed at the worksite. DPR considers this regulation to be a safety-related regulation because it provides workers with important pesticide safety and medical-related information. This means a blank posted A-9 is generally classified as at least a Class B violation.

Continued on next page

Classifying Violations, Continued

**Classifying
Violations Case
Study: 3 CCR
section 6726**

3 CCR section 6726. Emergency Medical Care:

(b) ... The employer shall post in a prominent place at the work site, or work vehicle if there is no designated work site, the name, address and telephone number of a facility able to provide emergency medical care whenever employees will be handling pesticides and, if the identified facility is not reasonably accessible from that work location, procedures to be followed to obtain emergency medical care.

Posting emergency medical care information at the worksite or in the work vehicle is an important requirement to assure employee protection. Failure to post this information at the worksite or work vehicle violates a regulation that mitigates the risk of an adverse health effects to employees handling pesticides. Generally, a violation of 3 CCR section 6726 is classified as at least a Class B violation.

Section 4.3

Determining the Enforcement Response to Violations

Improved compliance is the primary goal

The primary goal of the pesticide enforcement program is compliance with pesticide use requirements. This is achieved by applying enforcement tools in a program using graduated steps to change behavior. The tools include, but not limited to, outreach, continuing education, use monitoring inspections or investigations, and compliance actions. Based on the circumstances and consequences of the violation, an enforcement action or other civil or criminal action may be necessary to safeguard human health and the environment and deter future violations.

Enforcement Response

After classifying a violation, 3 CCR section 6128(c) dictates the following options for an enforcement response:

- (1) Class A or Serious Violation:
 - (A) A **formal referral*** to the District Attorney, City Attorney, Circuit Prosecutor; to the Director or SPCB for a statewide licensing action; or the Director for the reasons in FAC section 12999.6.
 - (B) An **enforcement action**. If the enforcement response initially selected was a formal referral but the referral was declined, then the commissioner shall proceed with an enforcement action.
- (2) Class B or Moderate Violation:
 - (A) A **formal referral*** to the District Attorney, City Attorney, Circuit Prosecutor; to the Director or SPCB for a statewide licensing action; or the Director for the reasons in FAC section 12999.6.
 - (B) An **enforcement action**. If the enforcement response initially selected was a formal referral but the referral was declined, then the Commissioner shall proceed with this enforcement response.
 - (C) A **compliance action with a DR**, provided there has not been a violation in Class A or B within two years of the current violation.
- (3) Class C or Minor Violation:
 - (A) An **enforcement action**; or
 - (B) A **compliance action**.

*Note: a formal referral to the District Attorney is required for incidents that meet the requirements in 3 CCR section 6128(e). See Section 3.8.

Continued on next page

Determining the Enforcement Response to Violations, Continued

**Sample
 Enforcement
 Goals and
 Actions**

These are example enforcement options that the CAC could take depending on the desired enforcement goal. Note these options are not mutually exclusive, for example a prohibit harvest order does not just prevent immediate harm, but it also could deter future violations/change behavior.

Desired outcome	Enforcement Options Available to CAC
Behavior change	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Follow-up inspections • Violation Notice, Warning Letter, Documented Compliance Interview • An Administrative Civil Penalty • County permit or registration suspension • Refer to Director or SPCB for licensing actions (e.g., probation, suspension)
Mitigate or prevent immediate harm	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cease and Desist Order • Prohibit Harvest Order • Refuse, suspend, or revoke a County permit or registration
Punishment (Civil)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Refer case to District Attorney • Refer case to DPR for action under FAC section 12999.6 • Refer to Director or SPCB for licensing actions (e.g., suspend or revoke license)

**CalPEATS and
 compliance
 history**

CalPEATS enables CACs to search closed inspections, investigations, and enforcement responses (including Decision Reports and NOPAs) from CACs statewide.

Under 3 CCR section 6130(b)(1)(B)1. and (d), the CAC can use the Respondent’s history of violations to elevate a Class B violation to a Class A violation.

Continued on next page

Determining the Enforcement Response to Violations, Continued

**Option 1 –
CAC manages
the
enforcement
response**

For incidents where the CAC is not required to refer the case to the District Attorney, when considering enforcement response CACs should first consider using the tools that allow them fuller control over the implementation and outcome of an enforcement response, e.g. administrative civil penalties or county disciplinary action.

For repeat violations, progressive discipline, where the CAC’s enforcement response increases in severity, may be appropriate.

Under FAC sections 11897 and 13102, if the violation or violator poses a significant threat to people, the environment, or property, the CAC is authorized to stop or prohibit the action immediately and can determine if and when it is appropriate for the action to resume. For more information on Cease and Desist Orders and their use, see Compendium Volume 4, Chapter 1.

Further, if the violation is so egregious that the CAC cannot adequately protect health, environment, or property using the regulatory and enforcement tools available, then the CAC should consider referring the issue to an external enforcement agency (District Attorney, City Attorney, Circuit Prosecutor) or to the Director or SPCB.

**Option 2 –
CAC refers the
case to DPR or
SPCB for more
severe
enforcement
response**

The CAC may refer a case to DPR or SPCB when the available county-level enforcement responses are:

- not effective in changing violator behaviors,
- not effective in mitigating or preventing harm,
- addressing high priority incidents, or
- addressing violations committed in multiple jurisdictions.

The FAC (for DPR) and the B&PC (for the SPCB) allow the State to engage in civil prosecutions, levy civil penalties, and take licensing actions against licensees. The Director or Board may also refer the case to the Attorney General.

Although DPR manages/controls the case when it is referred, DPR engages with the CAC on case development and enforcement response. CACs are encouraged to discuss concerns about the lack of effectiveness of a county level action and the possibility of referring a case to DPR early in case development.

Continued on next page

Determining the Enforcement Response to Violations, Continued

**Option 3 –
CAC refers the
case to higher
authority**

When faced with egregious and/or criminal acts, or when required by 3 CCR section 6128(e) (Reportable Incident investigations), CACs can formally refer cases to external enforcement agencies that are well equipped with respect to legal and penalty authority. This can result in:

- Criminal prosecution resulting in fines and/or imprisonment; or
- Civil prosecution of up to \$75,000 per violation.

When a case is referred to the Attorney General, District Attorney, City Prosecutor, or City Attorney, both DPR and the CACs no longer control the investigation, prosecution, or penalty. Except for cases required to be referred by 3 CCR section 6128(e), when considering a formal referral CACs may discuss the case and their concerns with DPR and/or their County Counsel to be certain that “in-house” enforcement options have been explored (e.g., County Counsel may have experience in revoking a county business license as a method of punishing repeat violators).

**Enforcement-
Compliance
Options Chart**

The [Enforcement-Compliance Options Chart](https://www.cdpr.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/dpr-enf072.pdf) (DPR-ENF-072, Rev 07/24) <https://www.cdpr.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/dpr-enf072.pdf> on the following pages lists the types of enforcement, compliance, and public protection actions, who is subject to the actions (affected party), which agency initiates the actions, what the penalties are, and under whose authority. Public Protection Actions are compliance actions.

Continued on next page

Enforcement and Compliance Options Chart

DPR-ENF-072 (Rev. 07/24) Page 1 of 2

ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS - "Enforcement Actions" contain a penalty.			
ACTION	AFFECTED PARTY	AGENCY	PENALTY AND AUTHORITY
ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY Administrative civil penalties are initiated by County Agricultural Commissioners (CAC) under the Agricultural Civil Penalty or Structural Civil Penalty Programs. The Director may initiate administrative penalties for certain violations.	Violators of certain state pesticide laws and regulations or Labor Code §1695; and, violators who are structural pest control licensees or businesses	CAC	Agricultural Civil Penalty - (1) CAC may levy penalties up to \$15,000 per violation. <i>FAC §§12999.5, 15204, 15204.5, 3 CCR §§6128, 6130, and 6131</i> ; (2) Each person acutely injured constitutes a separate violation. <i>FAC §§12996.5 and 12997.5</i> ; (3) In addition, CAC may refuse to issue a permit when violator does not pay penalty or follow a lawful order of the CAC. <i>FAC §12999.5</i>
	Licensees, certificate holders, and permit holders	CAC or SPCB	<u>Structural Civil Penalty</u> - CAC may levy penalties up to \$5,000 per violation, suspend right to work up to three working days per violation, or require course attendance. <i>FAC §15202; BPC §8617; 3 CCR §§6128, 6131, and 16 CCR §§1922, 1922.3</i>
DISCIPLINARY ACTION	Licensees, certificate holders	DPR	<u>Director</u> - Director may levy penalties up to \$20,000 per violation. <i>FAC §§12671, 12999.4 and 12999.6</i>
	Any violator of state pesticide laws and regulations	CAC	CAC may refuse, revoke, or suspend county registration. Refuse, revoke, or suspend restricted materials permit or private applicator certificate. <i>FAC §§11512.5, 11735, 11737, 11740, 11924, 11933, 12035, 14008, and 14098</i>
COURT ACTION, CIVIL	Licensees, certificate holders	DPR	Director may refuse, revoke, or suspend license and/or certificate. <i>FAC §§11501, 11501.5, 11708, 11910, 12023, 12023.5, 12113, 12205, 12206, 12257, and 12408</i>
	Any violator of state pesticide laws and regulations	DPR or SPCB	Division 6 and 3 CCR Violations \$3,000 - \$30,000 per violation. <i>FAC §§11891, 11892, 11893, 11894, and 11895.5</i> Division 7 and 3 CCR Violations \$3,000 - \$75,000 per violation. <i>FAC §12998</i>
COURT ACTION, CRIMINAL	Any violator of state pesticide laws and regulations	DPR or SPCB	May seek to enjoin the violation or threatened violation of orders issued pursuant to Division 6 or 7, and performance of specific acts to protect persons, animals, crops, or property. <i>FAC §§11895.5 and 13000.1</i> Director (or SPCB) may initiate a civil court action through Office of the Attorney General. Director may consider CAC requests for DPR to initiate civil court action after other options are exhausted.
	Any violator of state pesticide laws and regulations	Referral to District or City Attorney, or Circuit Prosecutor	Division 6 and 3 CCR Violations \$5,000 - \$50,000 per violation and/or 10 days to 6 months imprisonment, or both. <i>FAC §11891</i> Division 7 and 3 CCR Violations \$5,000 - \$100,000 per violation and/or up to one year imprisonment. <i>FAC §12996</i> Director may request District/City Attorney or Circuit Prosecutor to initiate a criminal action. The Director may consider CAC requests for DPR to initiate criminal action, or request a CAC to locally initiate criminal action. Criminal action generally limited to cases of egregious nature or statewide significance.
STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS			
AGRICULTURAL CIVIL PENALTIES		STRUCTURAL CIVIL PENALTIES	
CAC has three years from date of occurrence, or, Director has one year from submission of completed investigation. <i>FAC §§13000(a) and (b)</i>		CAC has two years from date of occurrence; or, SPCB or Attorney General have one year from submission of completed investigation. <i>BPC §8617(n)</i>	
ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS			
BPC = Business and Professions Code CAC = County Agricultural Commissioner CCR = California Code of Regulations DPR = California Department of Pesticide Regulation Director = Director of the California Department of Pesticide Regulation		Division 6 = Division 6 of the FAC (sections 11401 through 12500) Division 7 = Division 7 of the FAC (sections 12501 through 16000) FAC = California Food and Agricultural Code SPCB = Structural Pest Control Board § = section (of a legal code); §§ = sections (of a legal code)	

COMPLIANCE ACTIONS - "Compliance Actions" are official notices or warnings to promote correction; they are not "Penalties."			
ACTION	AFFECTED PARTY	AGENCY	ACTIVITY AND AUTHORITY
- VIOLATION NOTICE - WARNING LETTER - COMPLIANCE INTERVIEW - "NONCOMPLIANCE" NOTED ON INSPECTION FORM	Violators of state pesticide laws and regulations	CAC or DPR	No monetary penalty. These activities are consistent with general provisions of the FAC.
PROCESSING NOTIFICATION	Various	DPR and CDPH	Director of DPR is required to immediately notify Director of California Department of Public Health (CDPH) when produce destined for processing is in violation of permissible pesticide residue tolerances. <i>FAC §12582</i>

PUBLIC PROTECTION ACTIONS - "Public Protection Actions" are initiated for the immediate protection of public health or safety; they are not "Penalties" in and of themselves.			
ACTION	AFFECTED PARTY	AGENCY	ACTIVITY AND AUTHORITY
CEASE AND DESIST ORDER	Violators of state pesticide laws and regulations	CAC or DPR	Cease and Desist Orders may be issued pursuant to <i>FAC §§11737, 11896, or 11897</i> (Division 6); and <i>FAC §§ 13101 or 13102</i> (Division 7). In addition, the Director may issue a cease and desist order pursuant to <i>FAC §15330</i> .
SEIZE/HOLD PRODUCE (Administrative)	Growers, packers, shippers, and sellers	DPR	Seize and hold (quarantine) any lot of produce that exceeds or is suspected of exceeding pesticide residue tolerance. <i>FAC §§12601 and 12602</i>
CROP ABATEMENT ORDER (Court)	Growers, packers, shippers, and sellers	DPR (via District or City Attorney)	Any lot of produce that exceeds pesticide residue tolerance is a public nuisance and is subject to disposal. <i>FAC §12642 and 12643</i>
CROP SEIZURE (Administrative)	Growers, packers, shippers, and sellers	DPR	Seize and destroy any plant, crop, commodity or site treated with a pesticide not registered for use on the plant, crop, commodity or site. <i>FAC §§12648 and 12648.5</i>
PROHIBIT HARVEST ORDER (Administrative)	Growers	CAC or DPR	Delay harvest until expiration of pre-harvest interval. <i>FAC §12672</i>
PROHIBIT HARVEST ORDER (Administrative)	Growers	CAC or DPR	Prohibit harvest of any produce that carries pesticide residue in excess of a permissible tolerance. <i>FAC §12673</i>
PESTICIDE SEIZURE (Administrative)	Person in possession of pesticide	DPR	Seize and quarantine pesticides that are adulterated, misbranded, not registered by the Director, etc. <i>FAC §§12931 and 12961</i>

Excerpts from 3 CCR §6140 - Inspection Authority:

The Director or CAC may, during business hours or at any other reasonable time, enter and inspect, and/or sample any of the following or related items in order to determine compliance with Divisions 6 and 7 of the FAC:

- Fields, areas, structures, and greenhouses where pesticides are handled, stored or applied;
- Growing crops/harvested commodities;
- Equipment (including protective clothing and equipment) used to store/transport/handle pesticides;
- Change areas/other facilities used by employees; and
- Pesticides and tank mixtures.

The person responsible for preparing/maintaining records shall make those records available during business hours, upon demand. Required records include:

- Records concerning work hours/training/medical monitoring of employees;
- Pest control recommendations/pesticide use and operations records; and
- Pesticide transactions/sales/delivery records.

Excerpts from 3 CCR §6141 - Employee Interviews:

The Director or CAC may confidentially interview any employee during work hours:

- When reasonably necessary for an investigation of employee illness(es) suspected of having been caused by a pesticide; or
 - To investigate a suspected pesticide-related safety violation.
- Authority for 3 CCR §§ 6140 - 6141 is FAC §§ 11456 and 12981*